

BOOK III FINANCING OF COURTS

1. Expenditure of the assignments for the supreme court of Georgia

The financing from the state budget, by considering the analysis of the factual material-technical base and financial aspects of the Supreme Court of Georgia, was used to cover the necessary current expenses of the Court, improve the material conditions for the Court employees, strengthen the necessary material-technical base of the Court and provide other fixed assets.

The precise budgetary assignment for the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2010 amounted to 5285.0 thousand GEL. 3806.63 thousand GEL was spent for labor, including the benefit of the judges and staff of the Supreme Court. Bonuses and legally envisaged increments were included in the assignments.

In 2010, the cash expenses of the goods and services amounted to 1260.78 thousand GEL, including 31.15 thousand GEL as the fee of out-of-staff members. The cash expense of the employees' business trips amounted to 59.59 thousand GEL and office expenses amounted to 817.43 thousand GEL. The representative costs amounted to 28.16 thousand GEL and medical expenses amounted to 4.55 thousand GEL. The costs to purchase furniture, uniforms and items of personal hygiene amounted to 35.58 thousand GEL and the expenses of exploitation and maintenance of transport, techniques and weapon amounted to 235.87 thousand GEL, while the costs of other goods and services amounted to 48.43 thousand GEL.

The cash expenses for social provision amounted to 44.96 thousand GEL and that for other clauses amounted to 55.03 thousand GEL.

The cash expense of non-financial assets amounted to 108.79 thousand GEL.

The assignments allotted for the operations of the Supreme Court were used to cover postal (stamps, envelopes, messages), communal (fuel for heating, electrical energy, water-supply, cleaning, Internet) and other expenses. Different forms (printed forms, registries), supplies and accessories, stationery and economic goods were purchased. The building of the Court was painted and repaired. One official motor car was purchased. Computing techniques and various office furniture and stock were purchased for the Court members and staff.

**საქართველოს უზენაესი სასამართლოს ფაქტობრივი დაფინანსება
საქართველოს 2010 წლის სახელმწიფო ბიუჯეტიდან
FACTUAL FINANCING OF THE SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA FROM THE STATE
BUDGET OF 2010**

**მიმდინარე წლის ხარჯი
Current Expense**

თანხა ლარებში Amount in GEL

ხარჯის კოდი Code of expense	ხარჯების დასახელება Name of expense	დაზუსტებული გეგმა Accurate plan	საკასო ხარჯი Cash expense	შესრულება % of execution
	საერთო ხარჯები Common expenses	5 285 000	5 276 218.68	99.83
21	შრომის ანაზღაურება Salaries	3 807 000	3 806 637.63	99.99
22	საქონელი და მომსახურება Goods and Services	1 267 000	1 260 789.69	99.51
27	სოციალური უზრუნველყოფა Social guarantees	45 000	44 964.24	99.92
28	სხვა ხარჯები Other expenses	57 000	55 033.24	96.55
31	არაფინანსური აქტივები Non-financial assets	109 000	108 793.88	99.81

2. Financing of the first instance and appellate courts

In 2010 the assets allotted for the Common Courts within the limits of the state budget of Georgia were spent in the following priority:

1. Description of priority: intense training of listeners of the school of justice (the candidates for the position of a judge), active judges and court staff.

The aim of the priority: staffing the court system with qualified personnel to be appointed as judges. Heightening the qualification of active judges. Heightening the qualification of the assistant to a judge and other members of court staff and facilitate to the proper functioning of courts.

Brief description of the programs and measures realized in 2010 within the limits of the priority: up to 4 trainings were held for listeners. The first group successfully accomplished the studying program and as a result 5 new judges were appointed on the position of a judge in the common courts system. The rest of the three groups (in total 21 listeners) will accomplish the studying program in 2011. For active judges 38 seminars/trainings were worked out and implemented. For the assistants to a judge and other members of the court staff 23 seminars/trainings were conducted.

Achieved results: The court system was provided with 5 successfully graduated listeners, who were appointed on the position of a judge. During 2011, 21 candidates will accomplish the course to be appointed on the position. According to the implemented activities the qualification of a judge was improved and the court practice was ameliorated. The qualification of the assistant to a judge and members of other court staff was heightened, the increase of the court effectiveness was facilitated.

2. Description of priority: Improving the guarantees for social security of judges and court staff.

The aim of the priority: Insuring guarantees for the efficient social security within the court system. Improving the sovereignty of the court authority.

Brief description of the programs and measures realized in 2009 within the limits of the priority: The official benefits of the judges increased. Extra monthly benefits were assigned to the Chairmen and Deputy Chairmen of Tbilisi and Kutaisi Courts of Appeal, Chairmen and judges of the Panel of Judges and Chamber of Judges, Chairman of Tbilisi City Court, Chairmen and judges of the Panel of Judges, Chairmen of the General Courts, judges of Batumi City Court and member judges of the High Council of Justice of Georgia by considering their workload. The judges got health insurance. In September Kutaisi City Court, District Courts of Tkibuli, Baghdati and Tskaltubo were unified. In parallel to the

unification of the courts, the official benefits of the general court staff also increased.

Achieved results: The judges have solid social guarantees.

3. Description of priority: Perfection and ensuring the trouble-free functioning of the material-technical base of the Common Courts.

The aim of the priority: Improving the working conditions of judges and court staff.

Brief description of the programs and measures realized within the limits of the priority: The refurbishment and modernization works of the magistrate court buildings, which started in 2009 in Kharagauli, Khelvachauri, Oni and Gurjaani were accomplished. The newly reconstructed courts were furnished with furniture and the court rooms were arranged. The new computer technique was purchased. Microphones and recording techniques were installed in the court rooms. Reconstruction of the ground floor of Kutaisi City Court building from damp was accomplished. By the end of the year 2010 the rehabilitation works of drainage started in the basement of Chiatura Magistrate Court building. The Appellate Courts of Tbilisi and Kutaisi, City Courts of Tbilisi and Rustavi, District Courts of Akhaltsikhe, Mtskheta, Khashuri, Lentekhi, Signaghi, Khoni and Gardabani, Magistrate Courts of Adigeni and Kobuleti had been reconstructed. The purchase of seven office cars had been implemented. The Common Courts had been provided with goods and services inevitable for functioning.

Achieved results: The material-technical base of the Common Courts improved more significantly.

4. Description of priority: Perfecting and heightening of the quality of proceedings at the court system.

The aim of the priority: Full automatization of case proceedings process at the common courts.

Brief description of the programs and measures realized in 2009 within the limits of the priority: All unified courts were incorporated in a single computer network. Computer program of proceedings had been put in practice at the City Court of Rustavi and District Courts of Mtskheta and Gori. The working procedures for installing the proceedings program proceeds in various common courts.

Achieved results: The working procedures proceeds for improvement of proceedings, swift and efficient justice.

5. Description of priority: Increasing the public awareness.

The aim of the priority: Increase of society involvement in the current process of court system.

Brief description of the programs and measures realized in 2010 within the limits of the priority: TV programs and advertising videos about the reforms taking place within the court system were shot and aired on TV and radio. Besides, the articles for newspaper had been prepared and published.

Achieved results: The work for more authority and confidence of the court system in the society proceeds.

სამართო სასამართლოების დაფინანსება საქართველოს 2010 წლის სახელმწიფო ბიუჯეტიდან

FINANCING OF COMMON COURT FROM THE STATE BUDGET OF GEORGIA OF 2010

თანხა ათას ლარებში Amount in thousand GEL

ხარჯების დასახელება	დაზუსტებული გეგმა Accurate plan	საკასო ხარჯი Cash expenses	შესრულება % Execution	Name of expenses
ხარჯები	30 841.6	30 388.1	98.5	Common expenses
შრომის ანაზღაურება	22 334.4	22 328.3	100.0	Salaries
საქონელი და მომსახურება	7 896.5	7 585.2	96.1	Goods and service
სოციალური უზრუნველყოფა	252.0	211.9	84.1	Social guarantees
სხვა ხარჯები	358.7	262.7	73.2	Other expenses
არაფინანსური აქტივების ზრდა	2 158.4	2 069.9	95.9	Increase of non-financial assets

