

## **Book II**

### **Statistics of the Disciplinary Responsibility and Disciplinary Prosecution of Judges**

#### **1. The results of the claims heard by the Department of Judicial Ethics and Disciplinary Prosecution of the High Council of Justice of Georgia**

By 2011, 940 applications (claims, letters) had been filed before the High Council of Justice of Georgia, out of which 880 were filed in 2011.

The results of examining the mentioned applications (claims, letters) were as follows:

1. The disciplinary proceedings against judges were terminated in 422 disciplinary cases (44,9%).
2. In 19 disciplinary cases, the judges were applied to by private letters of recommendation (2,0%).
3. In 7 disciplinary cases (0,8%), the judges gave explanations out of which:
  - (a) In 2 disciplinary cases, judges were called for disciplinary liability;
  - (b) In 3 disciplinary cases, the judges were applied to by private recommendation letter;
  - (c) On 2 disciplinary cases, the disciplinary prosecution proceeds.
4. 431 re-filed applications (claims, letters) (45,9%) with the same appeals were either subordinated, or combined with other applications (claims) or/and the citizens were informed about the confidentiality of the disciplinary proceedings in reply to their letters.
5. The validity of initiating the disciplinary prosecution is being examined based on 61 applications (claims, letters) (6,5%).

## **2. Results of the cases heard by the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia**

In 2011, 27 disciplinary cases were filed before the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, out of which two were lodged in 2011.

In 2011, 25 disciplinary cases were heard against 24 judges by the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia.

1. In 2 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 2 judges were applied to by private recommendation letter.
2. In 5 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 4 judges were charged with disciplinary liability and disciplinary penalty (rebuke).
3. In 9 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 9 judges were charged with disciplinary liability and disciplinary penalty (reprimand).
4. In 2 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 2 judge were charged with disciplinary liability and disciplinary penalty – strict reprimand.
5. In 2 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, one judge was charged with disciplinary liability and disciplinary penalty – dismissal from the position of a judge.
6. In 2 disciplinary cases, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, the disciplinary prosecutions were terminated against 2 judges.

### **3. Results of the claims heard by the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia**

During 2011 the claims were filed at the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia on two judgments of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia and on three judgments of Legal Entity under Public Law – Ethics Commission of Judges' Association of Georgia, out of which:

- on three cases the filed judgments remained unexamined and on one case the prosecution was terminated.